

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washinkton, DC. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/899,091	07/05/2001	Kornelis A. Schouhamer Immink	PHN 14, 746R	3533
7	590 11/05/2002			
US Philips Corp Intellectual Prop Dept 580 White Plains Rd			EXAMINER	
			JEAN PIERRE, PEGUY	
Tarrytown, NY 10591			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2819	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/899,091	SCHOUHAMER IMMINK				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Peguy JeanPierre	2819				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period fo	• •						
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Is signs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the mid patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re to reply within the statutory minimum of thirty mind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT that the cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status 1\⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	05 July 2001					
1)⊠	•	This action is non-final.					
2a)☐	•		ters prosecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
•	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,7 and 9-112</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,7 and 9-112</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
• —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar on Papers	nd/or election requirement.					
• •	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>05 July 2001</u> is: a)⊠ approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa See the attached detailed Office action for a	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	)  The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don						
Attachmen	t(s)	_					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	s) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/899,091

Art Unit: 2819

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed on 2/4/2002 has been considered.

# Specification

The preliminary amendment filed on 7/5/2001 has been entered. However, it is informal. All amendment changes in the reissue application must present the entire paragraph/claim to be amended and must employ markings in accordance with 37 CFR 1.173(d). Specifically, the paragraph or claim to be amended must be submitted in its entirety and must employ bracketing and underlining to mark the text being deleted and added, respectively, to the patent. See MPEP 1453.

# Reissue Applications

- The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to contain the statement required under 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) as to applicant's belief that the original patent is wholly or partly inoperative or invalid. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and see MPEP § 1414. It fails to allege that the original patent is inoperative or invalid; it fails to state the reason of a defective specification or drawing, or of patentee claiming more or less than patentee had the right to claim in the patent.
- The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.
- 4. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to contain a statement that all errors which are being corrected in the reissue application

Application/Control Number: 09/899,091

Art Unit: 2819

up to the time of filing of the oath/declaration arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.175 and MPEP § 1414.

- 5. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective (see 37 CFR1.175 and MPEP § 1414) because of the following:
- 6. Claims 1-3, 6-7, 9-112 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue Declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the Declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

- 7. An art rejection of the claims are precluded because of Applicant's failure to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peguy JeanPierre whose telephone number is (703) 308-1968 and fax number is (703) 746-4034. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. The Group fax number is (703) 308-7722.

PEGUY JEANPIERRE PRIMARY EXAMINER November 3, 2002